Study Session – Consolidated Transit JPA



Presented to Board of Supervisors

Tulare County Resource Management Agency

May 5, 2020

www.rideTCaT.org

Table of Contents

- TCaT Mission Statement & System Overview
- Tulare County Transit Agencies
- History and Background of Consolidated Transit
- 2019 Tulare County Regional Transit Coordination Study
- Proposed Terms for the Joint Powers Agreement
- Benefits to Riders & Administration
- County's Share of Costs
- Discussion on Proposed Agreement

TCaT Mission Statement

Tulare County Area Transit provides customerfocused, safe, friendly, clean, reliable, costeffective, public transit service, which increases access and mobility for all, reduces congestion, and improves the environment, while supporting economic development.



TCaT System Overview

- Fixed Route Service
 - 5 Inter-City Routes
 - 3 Local Circulators
 - 1 Flex Route
- Dial-A-Ride Services
- Route Deviation for ADA
- LOOP Bus Program
- Fleet Size:
 - 21 Transit Buses, 3Loop Buses
 - 14 Bus Max Pull Out
- ~3.5 FTEs



Tulare County Transit Agencies

- Visalia Transit (156,230 Service Hours)
 - Serves Cities of Visalia, Farmersville and Exeter plus some unincorporated areas including Goshen with Dial-A-Ride and Fixed Route
 - Operates the Greenline call center for transit information
 - Operates the V-Line and Sequoia Shuttle
- Porterville Transit (52,834 Service Hours)
 - Serves City of Porterville and adjacent unincorporated areas including East Porterville with Dial-A-Ride and Fixed Route
 - Provides service to the Tule River Reservation (with Tribal contributions)
- Woodlake Dial-A-Ride
 - Serves City of Woodlake and adjacent unincorporated areas with Dial-A-Ride

Tulare County Transit Agencies

- Tulare InterModal Express (TIME) (36,841 Service Hours)
 - Serves City of Tulare with Fixed Route and Dial-A-Ride
- Dinuba Area Regional Transit (DART) (14,937 Service Hours)
 - Serves City of Dinuba
 - Operates the Dinuba Connection to Reedley (with funding from FCRTA)
- Tulare County Area Transit (TCaT) (37,513 Service Hours)
 - Provides inter-city services between Visalia-Dinuba, Visalia-Lindsay-Porterville, Visalia-Woodlake, and Tulare-Delano
 - Provides fixed-route services and Dial-A-Ride services in unincorporated areas
 - Provides City of Lindsay with Flex Route services
 - Administrator of T-Pass Program

History and Background of Consolidated Transit

- Transit Forum Monthly discussions between all transit providers
 - All coordination actions are recommendations
 - No formal decision making powers
- 2017 Tulare County Long Range Transit Plan
 - Identified 35 action plan items in 8 functional areas
 - "Consider Joint Powers Authority (JPA) among Transit Providers"
- 2019 Tulare County Regional Transit Coordination Study
 - TCAG Ad Hoc Committee Formed to Consider JPA
 - Supervisor Shuklian was County Member; Staff attended as support
 - Developed Draft Joint Powers Agreement
 - Recommendations for Policy Makers to Implement Coordinated Transit
- Other regionalization discussions from time to time

2019 Tulare County Regional Transit Coordination Study

- "[D]esigned to assess the potential for the evolution of the six transit systems in Tulare County into a regional network that offers citizens in the urbanized areas improved mobility and improved economic productivity while maintaining the rural transit connections."
- Proposed either a "Clean Slate Model" or a "One Region Model" for coordinated service levels
- Provided case studies from other consolidations
- Section 6 included "Recommendations for Policy Makers to Implement Coordinated Transit"

2019 Study: Recommendations for Policy Makers to Implement Coordinated Transit

- 1. The region appoints a Task Force to study the details of the transition. [TCAG Ad Hoc Committee]
- 2. The Service Plan options presented herein are the basis of more detailed service decisions.
- 3. The structure of the Joint Powers Authority including governance and representation is reviewed. [See Proposed JPA]
- 4. Consider leaving the contractor agreements with the local governments through the budget process. [Per Proposed JPA, to transition later]
- 5. Monthly route level serve [sic] productivity for all operations are reviewed.
- 6. Monthly financial performance for all operations are reviewed.
- 7. The balance of regional funding allocation and local decision making are reviewed. [Local decision making in Proposed JPA]
- 8. The next three-year capital and operating budgets are evaluated.
- 9. The decision is considered to transition to JPA in one year or phased in over three years. [Timeline discussed during Ad Hoc Committee]
- 10. If the decision to move to the Joint Powers Authority is no, several regional decision processes would remain.

2019 Study: Recommendations for Transit Managers to Implement Coordinated Transit

- 1. Create a Task Force of the managers. [transit forum]
- 2. Evaluate, improve the service alternatives presented here and prepare public hearings. [Implemented at each City's meeting]
- Implement regional fare process, including free transfers. [partially implemented]
- Consider the impacts on service contracts with private providers. [contracts to transition to new Agency]
- 5. Consider asset transfer by either merger into the JPA, or lease or other coordination action. [lease]
- 6. Explain the possibilities to the employees, customers, and taxpayers. [various public meetings and hearings]
- 7. Explain to bargaining units that existing collective bargaining agreements would be honored. [TBD]
- 8. Determine which regulatory compliance activities and plans can be coordinated. [partially implemented through TCAG and Transit Forum]
- 9. Consider a progression from each of the six systems within a JPA structure to one structure. [TBD]

Proposed Terms for the Joint Powers Agreement

- Name: Tulare County Regional Transit Agency
- Boundaries: Will cover the territory of all member agencies within the County
- Voting Members: One Regular and One Alternate from each member agency
- Ex Officio Members: Calvans, TCAG Transit Rep
- Voting: Typically by majority; Unanimous for Budget, LTF Claims, Early Withdrawal of Member Agency, Readmission of Agency
- Level of Service: Minimum level of service for areas determined using established criteria

Proposed Terms for the Joint Powers Agreement, cont.

- Service Changes: Member Agency may submit a request to add or reduce services which is then considered by the Agency
- Sources of Funds: (1) All "transit-only" sources: STA, 5311, 5307, etc; (2) Remainder covered by LTF* by a formula (50% for population, 50% for service hours)
- Assets: Separate agreement to lease or transfer existing buses and capital assets (inc. TOMF) to the Agency;
 Agency to purchase new assets
- Service Agreements: Current agreements to remain in place for remaining effective period

^{*} Member agency may elect to contribute General Fund money but cannot be obligated to do so

Benefits to Riders

- Uniform Branding
- More rider focused planning, less concern on geographic boundaries
- Additional Fare Options
 - Weekly passes, daily passes, multi-ride options
 - Zone-based fare options
- Uniform Technology*

*A committee was recently established through the Transit Forum for this purpose

Benefits to Administration

- Economies of Scale
 - More specialization by staff
- Reduced coordination, planning, and reporting requirements with State, FTA, etc (one report/plan vs. six)
- Combined bidding
 - More competition for one larger service contracts

County's Share of Costs – by Hours

	FIXED ROUTE		DIAL A RIDE		TOTAL	
	Hours	Share by Hours	Hours	Share by Hours	Total Hours	Share by Hours
Dinuba Area Regional Transit (with service to Reedley)	12,588	4.7%	2,349	8.2%	14,937	5.0%
Porterville Transit (inc. East Porterville and Tule River Tribe)	49,837	18.5%	2,997	10.5%	52,834	17.7%
Tulare InterModal Express	30,597	11.3%	6,244	21.9%	36,841	12.3%
Visalia Transit (inc. Exeter, Farmersville, some County areas)	143,581	53.2%	12,649	44.3%	156,230	52.4%
Tulare County Area Transit (inc. Lindsay & some City areas)	33,195	12.3%	4,318	15.1%	37,513	12.6%
Countywide Total	269,798	100.0%	28,557	100.0%	298,355	100.0%

- Per Proposed JPA, 50% of costs are allocated by Population and 50% by Revenue Hours of service
- Assuming participation by all potential member agencies

County's Share of Costs – Population & Total

Agency	Population	Share by Pop.
Dinuba	25,328	5.3%
Exeter	11,002	2.3%
Farmersville	11,358	2.4%
Lindsay	13,358	2.8%
Porterville	60,260	12.6%
Tulare	66,967	14.0%
Visalia	138,207	28.8%
Woodlake	7,891	1.6%
County (Unincorporated)	144,741	30.2%
Countywide Total	479,112	

- Per Proposed JPA, 50% of costs are allocated by Population and 50% by Revenue Hours of service
- Assuming participation by all potential member agencies

Total County Share per Proposed JPA: <u>Approximately 21.4%</u> of Agency expenses in excess of "transit-only" funding

Discussion on Proposed Agreement

- County's Share of Costs
 - Largest proportion of unserved/underserved residents
 - No initial budgets are being adopted, County's initial contributions are unclear
 - The Tule River Tribe is eligible for state and/or federal transit funding but their population is included into the County's share of costs under this agreement
 - Policy decisions related to costs and cost sharing are to be determined by the Agency after it is formed
 - The proposed JPA does not obligate the County to contribute general fund money
- Voting Structure
 - County has one vote, which is not proportionate to funding or population
 - Potential impasse on annual budget or LTF contributions (unanimous approval required)
 - County would need to determine which supervisors will serve as the member and the alternate for the Board of Directors

Discussion on Proposed Agreement, cont.

Road Fund Impacts

- Excess LTF Funds not needed for Transit may be reallocated to Roads (~\$4.5 million to Roads in FY 2020/21, 7.5% of incoming Roads funds)
- A new agency would need to build emergency, capital replacement, cash flow, and other reserves and may increase expenses, which may leave less LTF for Roads
- Administrative cost savings do not necessarily mean an increase in LTF to Roads
- Staffing Concerns and Considerations
 - A Meet and Confer would be required for changes to the Transit Technician position
 - The Transit Manager is an at-will position
 - County Staff may need to participate in Agency planning and coordination efforts
 - County Staff will need to seek Agency assistance in the General Plan and related planning documents

Discussion on Proposed Agreement, cont.

Transit Services

- County can no longer unilaterally determine service levels and may only request services or service levels be added or reduced
- Development agreements would be required to add services for new major developments, such as Sequoia Gateway project
- Separate agreement(s) would likely be required for LOOP and Emergency Services
- Oversight and Agency Growth
 - A transit-only agency may engage in scope creep or organizational expansions
 - Agency would be subject to financial and performance audits under state and federal law
 - Agency would be subject to performance metrics and goals determined by TCAG
- Limited benefits if not all potential member agencies join
 - Rider and administrative benefits may not be realized if the larger transit agencies do not join the JPA

Summary

- Transit Consolidation has potential great benefits for administration and for the riders
 - Improve Rider Experience
 - Implement Uniform Policies and Technologies
 - Potential for reduced administrative and operational costs
- Current JPA is the result of the 2019 Tulare County Regional Transit Coordination Study
- County Share per Proposed Agreement: ~21.4%
 - Based on Population and Service Hours
 - Exact details to be determined
 - No initial budget(s) or service plan(s) are included

Questions, Comments, & Discussion